



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/914,999	11/13/2001	Michael Birsha Davies	PG3619USW	7801
23347	7590 02/09/2005		EXAM	INER
DAVID J LEVY, CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GLAXOSMITHKLINE FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398			BROWN, MICHAEL A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3764	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/914,999	DAVIES, MICHAEL BIRSHA			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Michael Brown	3764			
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above, the maximum stated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stated if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stated is not reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended p	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a inication. d days, a reply within the statutory minimum of the utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC will, by statute, cause the application to become the statutory of the complete statute.	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <u>22 November 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any object	= 1 1				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to		g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in if the priority documents have bee hal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)	 □	Q (DTQ 440)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Dotice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 	O-948) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/914,999

Art Unit: 3764

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-17, 19, 21-22 and 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Leedom.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-4, 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Casper '183.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3764

Claims 18, 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leedom.

Leedom discloses in figures 1-2b a dose protector, substantially as claimed. However, Leedom does not disclose the sealing flap being a thermoset rubber. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time that the invention was made that the flap disclosed by Leedom could be a thermset rubber because it is a shape memory material and Leedom discloses using a shape memory material as a flap. The walls of the housing could be reversed to increase instead decreasing as the distance away from the pocket increases. The shutter 8 is considered to be a valve flap since it controls the flow of air into the housing.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kallstrand, Evans, Genova, Nowacki, Genova '173, Casper '496 and Casper '163, each discloses a dose protector.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Brown whose telephone number is 703-308-2682. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30 am-4:00 pm Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Yu Justine can be reached on 703-308-2675. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,999 Page 4

Art Unit: 3764

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. Brown February 7, 2005

> MICHAEL A. BROWN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Michael 9.6-